


**WHPA Council of Advisors
Roadmap Review Status Report – November 20, 2017**

WHPA Council of Advisors

**Chair: Dominic Guarino, NCI
Vice Chair: Scott Higa, SCE**

<p>Last Committee Meeting: 3/22/2017</p>	<p>Agenda Items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee Roadmap Update Process • Q&A • Open Mic 	<p style="text-align: center;">  3-22-16 COA DRAFT Minutes.pdf </p>
<p>Current Status</p>	<p>1. Roadmap Review. As a result of the 2017 Committee Goal and Implementation Plan development process, the COA Vice Chair became concerned that the Roadmaps by which the Committees were basing their goals upon were outdated or non-existent. SCE led the COA in discussion regarding a potential roadmap update process. Since it is believed that Implementation Plans may be influenced by the current set of Roadmaps, a Roadmap Update process was considered be a good way for the COA to influence the Implementation Plans. A process by which Strike Teams would meet over two to three short input meetings, with development between the meetings, was proposed. Each group would work within a one-to-two-month timeline.</p> <p>The Roadmap Strike Team constituent membership would consist of the following stakeholders: 1-4 IOUs; 1-3 COA Representatives; 1 Roadmap Steward Committee Chair; 1-3 Roadmap Steward Committee Members; and 1-2 Staff for a total of 5-13 Roadmap Review Strike Team members.</p> <p>The Strike Team activities and process would consist of: performing a ‘Roadmap Review’ to ensure that all of the milestones are still valid and, if not, determine what is to be revised; and performing a ‘Committee Participant Review’ to ensure that the Committee has comprehensive representation and, if not, to recruit appropriate participants. The Strike Team would also work with the Roadmap Stewards to determine how best to elicit change within and outside of the Committee, including that Committees work product impact (e.g. impact/improve compliance, assign value to the FDD technologies, measurements and metrics).</p> <p>The outcome of this process would result in revised or new Roadmaps that could be used to inform PIP development, enabling the WHPA to provide early and direct foundational input to PIPs. The updated activities and milestones established by the process would be tracked by designated parties within each of the Committees. In all cases the Strike Team review groups would work with Roadmap Stewards on an ad-hoc and short-term basis, reporting back to the COA and EC on a quarterly basis. As a result of the COA hiatus, and the uncertainty of the COA, pending Stakeholder Engagement and</p>	

	<p>Chartering Committee review, the Roadmap review was put on hold until such time that it is taken up by the COA or other body.</p> <p>2. GAPS Working Group. The GAPS working group never got off the ground.</p> <p>3. COA Survey. The COA Survey was to collect data from COA members to gauge interest in the COA and to generate potential ideas for the role of the COA moving forward. Given that interviews were being designed to inform WHPA Goal Setting for 2018-2019, the decision was made to roll this effort into those interviews in order to reduce respondent burden and decrease costs.</p>	
<p>Level of Interest</p>	<p>Since the COA has been on hiatus and the members have not convened, committee member interest in the project is unknown at this time. Historically, it has been difficult to engage these members—largely due to the COA not having a clear role and direction.</p>	